



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,158	11/02/2001	David C. Chambers	54804US002	8753
32692 7	32692 7590 01/05/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5				
		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		10/018,158	CHAMBERS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>October 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
. 6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>7-10, 12-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examir		<u>-</u>				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  See the attached detailed Office action for a list ocknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the complete of the priority documents.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the complete of the priority documents.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the priority documents.	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Inst of the certified copies not receive stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (first sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 (provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (priority under 35 U.	tion No red in this National Stage  ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				



**Art Unit: 3635** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 10/15/03.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claims 8-13, these claims depend on the cancelled claim 1.

Correction is required.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the container". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Close (US 4,265,953) in view of Chang (US 5,961,766).

With regard to claims 7, 10, Close teaches an elongated strip of flexible intumescent stressed skin composite material in fig. 5 comprising intumescent material 14, adhesive 12, 16 arranged on the intumescent material 14, a liner (not shown) was

Application/Control Number: 10/018,158

Art Unit: 3635

cut and placed over the exposed adhesive layer to prevent bonding during storage and handling (col. 4, line 2-5). Close does not teach expressly a liner including a first portion covering the adhesive, a second portion arranged in at least partially overlapping relation with the liner first portion, and a tab portion arranged adjacent the liner second, whereby the tab can be pulled to release the liner first portion from the adhesive. Chang teaches an adhesive 202 covering by a liner 204. The liner 204 (see attached drawing fig. 4B) having a first portion A covering the adhesive 202, a second portion B arranged in at least partially overlapping relation with the liner first portion A, and a tab portion T arranged adjacent the liner second portion B, whereby the tab portion T can be pulled to release the liner first portion A from the adhesive 202. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Close with Chang for the liner arrangement including a first portion covering adhesive, a second portion partially overlapping the first portion, and the tab portion adjacent the second portion. The motivation for doing so would have been conveniently provide quick and easy pulling the liner or skin sheet.

With regard to claims 8-9, the "container" and its details are not positively claimed. And with regard to claim 13 is improper depend on the cancelled claim 1 (see above rejections, thus, a "container" should not be claimed.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fail to teach or render obvious the

Art Unit: 3635

claimed combination including the ends forming an annulus as specifically set forth in the claim.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10, and 12-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Langer (US 4,048,363), Ten Eyck (US 4,999,168), Kelly (US 4,748,066) teach fire resistant device

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,158 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

CQN 12/15/03

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600